

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
'United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.ispio.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|---|---------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/045,541 | 10/26/2001 | Gerald N. King | 0267-001-1436CON | 3566 | |
| 759 | 90 04/11/2003 | | | | |
| Paul J. Sutton, Esq. | | | EXAMINER | | |
| Barry G. Magidoff, Esq. GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP | | | NGUYEN, SON V | | |
| 885 Third Ave. New York, NY | 10022 | ART UNIT PAPER N | | | |
| New 101K, IVI | 10022 | | 2839 | • | |
| | | • | DATE MAII ED: 04/11/2003 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| <u> </u> | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | () | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|-------------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/045,541 | | King | | | | |
| Office Action Summ | Examiner Son Nguye | n | Art Unit 2839 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this com- Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F | | | | | ess | | | |
| THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU - Extensions of time may be available under the provision mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for rep - Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | NICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In (30) days, a reply within t statutory period will apply by will, by statute, cause t s after the mailing date of | no event, however, may a rep he statutory minimum of thirty and will expire SIX (8) MONTH he application to become ABAI | ly be timely filed (30) days will b S from the mailin NDONED (35 U.S | after SIX (6) MONTH e considered timely. ng date of this commu S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) | filed on Jan 27. 2 | 2003 | | | | | | |
| 2a) ☑ This action is FINAL. | _ | tion is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4) ☑ Claim(s) <u>36-55</u> | | | is/are | e pending in the | e application. | | | |
| 4a) Of the above, claim(s) | | | is/ar | e withdrawn fr | om consideration. | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) | | | | is/are allowed. | | | | |
| 6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>36-55</u> | | | | is/are rejected | | | | |
| 7) | | 10.7 | | is/are objected | I to. | | | |
| 8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to t | · | | | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of: | | | | | | | | |
| _ | | vo boon received | | | | | | |
| 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | Stage | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). | | | | | | | | |
| a) \square The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. | | | | | | | | |
| 15) Acknowledgement is made of a | claim for domesti | c priority under 35 U. | S.C. §§ 12 | 0 and/or 121. | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | 4) Devenion Com- | DTO 4121 D | Note) | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F | 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 5 6) Other: | | | | | | | | |
| 77 | · · · · · | _ | | | | | | |

Art Unit: 2839

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 36-39, 41, 43-46, 48-52 and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Castellano (US 3,609,647) in view of Osterbrock et al. (US 5,637,000).

Castellano discloses a modular electrical component [figure 1] comprising:

- a base unit [30] having a plurality prongs comprise of first terminal members [80, figure 2] and a plurality of second terminal members [figure 5] with screws [76] connectable to conductors [22] in an electrical wiring system through duct [24];
- a mounting trap [33] secured a rear cover of the base [figure 4] to a junction box [10]; and
- a removable electrical device is in form of a receptacle [36, figure 1] or a switch [38, figure 1] having a plurality of power contacts [36a or 38a] and a ground contact [38b] extending through a rear cover of the switch [figures 1 and 5], wherein the power contacts releasably connected to the first terminal members.

Art Unit: 2839

Castellano discloses the instant claimed invention except for the mounting trap has at least one ground terminal connection extends into the base unit for connecting with the ground contact of the switch and the mounting trap is secured to the external surface of the rear cover.

Osterbrock et al. discloses an electrical wiring device with ground trap shorting protection [figure 1] comprising a mounting trap [30] having a ground terminal connection [34] extending through a hole formed in a rear wall of a base unit [10] for connecting with a mating ground contact, and the mounting trap is secured to the external surface of the rear cover [figures 1-2].

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify the mounting trap of Castellano to provide the ground trap shorting protection as taught by Osterbrock et al. for the purpose of preventing the possibility of an electrical shorting [column 1, lines 23-38] and facilitating the assembly.

3. Claims 40, 47 and 53-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Castellano and Osterbrock et al. and further in view of Marcou et al. (US 5,594,398).

Castellano and Osterbrock et al. disclose the instant claimed invention except for the removable electrical device is a circuit interruption device.

Marcou et al. clearly shows all limitations applicant claimed for a GFCI device including a reset means [10, figures 1 and 11].

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify the switch of Castellano to provide the GFCI device as taught by Marcou et

Page 3

Art Unit: 2839

al. for the purpose of facilitating resetting the electrical connection between input and output

conductive paths, as is well known in the art of the electrical connectors and switches.

Claim 42 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Castellano and 4.

Osterbrock et al. and further in view of Heimann (US 4,842,551).

Castellano and Osterbrock et al. disclose the instant claimed invention except for the base

unit is configured to fit within a multiple gang junction box in the electrical wiring system.

The use of a multiple gang junction box used in the electrical wiring system is well

known in the art of electrical connectors.

Heimann discloses a base unit is configured to fit within a multiple gang junction box in

the electrical wiring system [figure 1].

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention

was made to modify the base of Castellano to provide the multiple gang junction box as taught

by Heimann for the purpose of receiving multiple modular electrical components.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 36, 43 and 49 have been considered but are 5.

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Osterbrock et al. discloses a mounting trap is secured to an external surface of a rear

cover [figures 1-2] as applicant claimed.

Page 4

Art Unit: 2839

Conclusion

Page 5

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR

1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this

final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Son Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-8745.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lynn Feild, can be reach on (703) 308-2710. The fax phone number for this Group is

(703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

Son Nguyen

March 31, 2003